



**The prevalence of rear seat belt use among pregnant women in a suburban area of Japan**

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Journal:                      | <i>The Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research</i>   |
| Manuscript ID                 | JOGR-2017-0276.R1   |
| Manuscript Type:              | Original Article  |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 13-Jun-2017   |
| Complete List of Authors:     | Ogawa, Shota<br>Shinozaki, Hiromitsu; Gunma University Graduate School of Health Sciences<br>Hayashi, Kunihiko; Gunma University Graduate School of Health Sciences<br>Itoh, Masahiro; Japan Community Health care Organization, Gunma Chuo Hospital, Obstetrics and Gynecology<br>Soda, Masayuki; Japanese Red Cross Maebashi Hospital, Obstetrics and Gynecology<br>Kameda, Takashi; Gunma University Graduate School of Medicine, Obstetrics and Gynecology<br>Ozawa, Kiyoshi; Ozawa Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinic<br>Yokota, Hidemi; Yokota Maternity Hospital<br>Kamioka, Kiyoshi; Kamioka Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinic<br>Minegishi, Takashi; Gunma University Graduate School of Medicine |
| Keywords:                     | 9 Research Desing/Epidemiology and Statistics, 2.719 Prenatal Care < 2.700 Obstetrics: Diagnosis and Management < 2 Obstetrics  |
|                               |   |

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1 Running title: Pregnant women and rear seat belt use

2 ~~The effects of the enforcement of a seat belt use law on use rear seat belt~~

3 ~~among pregnant women in a suburban area of Japan~~

4 The prevalence of rear seat belt use among pregnant women in a suburban

5 area of Japan

6

7 Shota Ogawa<sup>1</sup> m15712001@gunma-u.ac.jp

8 Hiromitsu Shinozaki<sup>1</sup> h\_shinozaki@gunma-u.ac.jp

9 Kunihiko Hayashi<sup>1</sup> khayashi@gunma-u.ac.jp

10 Masahiro Itoh<sup>2</sup> mito201333873@gmail.com

11 Masayuki Soda<sup>3</sup> m-soda@maebashi.jrc.or.jp

12 Takashi Kameda<sup>4</sup> tkame@gunma-u.ac.jp

13 Kiyoshi Ozawa<sup>5</sup> ky-ozawa@sannet.ne.jp

14 Hidemi Yokota<sup>6</sup> hyokota@yokotamaternity.jp

15 Kiyoshi Kamioka<sup>7</sup> kamioka@kamioka.or.jp

16 Takashi Minegishi<sup>4</sup> tminegis@gmail.com

17 <sup>1</sup>Gunma University of Graduate School of Health Sciences

18 3-39-22 Showa-Machi, Maebashi-City, Gunma 371-8514, Japan.

19 <sup>2</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Japan Community Health care  
20 Organization, Gunma Chuo Hospital

21 <sup>3</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Japanese Red Cross Maebashi  
22 Hospital

23 <sup>4</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Gunma University Graduate  
24 School of Medicine

25 <sup>5</sup>Ozawa Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinic

26 <sup>6</sup>Yokota Maternity Hospital

27 <sup>7</sup>Kamioka Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinic

28 Send correspondence to: Dr. Hiromitsu Shinozaki, Gunma University of

29 Graduate School of Health Sciences, 3-39-22 Showa, Maebashi, Gunma

30 371-8514, Japan; E-mail: [h\\_shinozaki@gunma-u.ac.jp](mailto:h_shinozaki@gunma-u.ac.jp)

31 FAX and TEL +81 27 220 8970

32

33 Abstract

34 Aim: The aim of this study was ~~to examine pregnant women's rear seat belt~~  
35 ~~use and the influencing factors after the enforcement of the seat belt use law~~  
36 ~~requiring use of both front and rear seat belts, which took effect in 2008 in~~  
37 ~~Japan~~ to clarify the prevalence of rear seat belt use among pregnant women  
38 and its influencing factors.

39 Methods: Anonymous, self-administered questionnaires were provided to  
40 1,546 pregnant women who visited obstetrics clinics and hospitals for  
41 prenatal checkups from October to December 2013. A total of 1494 pregnant  
42 women (96.6%) agreed to participate in this study and completed the  
43 questionnaires.

44 Results: Fewer than 20% of the rear-seat passengers "always" used seat belts  
45 before and during pregnancy, whereas one-third "never" used a seat belt  
46 before or during pregnancy. There was no significant decrease in seat belt  
47 use by rear-seat passengers during, as compared to before, pregnancy.

48 Multivariate analysis revealed that age, knowledge of how to use a seat belt  
49 during pregnancy, belief in the compulsory use of a rear seat belt, and driver  
50 behavioral characteristics before pregnancy were associated with rear seat  
51 belt use during pregnancy.

52 Conclusions: ~~Enforcement of the seat belt use law as it pertains to the rear~~  
53 ~~seat might effectively facilitate pregnant women's rear seat belt use.~~

54 ~~Moreover, the provision of information concerning the use of rear seat belts~~  
55 ~~during pregnancy might be important for maintaining the safety of both~~  
56 ~~pregnant women and their unborn babies.~~

57 The prevalence of fastening seat belts was substantially low and provision of  
58 information regarding proper seat belt use and its role in protecting the fetus  
59 may increase its use.

60 Keywords: ~~Japan, Multivariate analysis, Pregnancy, Safety, Seat belts~~  
61 Pregnant women, Seat belts, Rear seat, Multivariate analysis, Influencing  
62 factors

63  
64 Introduction

65 Trauma occurs in approximately 6-7% of pregnancies.<sup>1</sup> Motor vehicle  
66 accidents ~~crashes~~ are the leading causes of traumatic injury-related fetal  
67 mortality,<sup>1,2,3</sup> serious maternal trauma,<sup>3,4</sup> and death during pregnancy.<sup>4,5</sup>

68 Previous studies have reported that ~~approximately two-thirds~~ 54.6-70.4%  
69 and 66% of pregnant women who incurred traumatic injuries in the United

70 States and Japan, respectively, did so as a result of traffic accidents.<sup>5,6,1,6,7</sup>

71 **Based on the Pregnancy Risk Assessment System**, it is estimated that

72 approximately 92,500 ~~and 10,500~~ pregnant women are injured in motor

73 vehicle **accidents** ~~crashes~~ annually in the United States<sup>7</sup> ~~and Japan~~<sup>6,</sup>

74 ~~respectively~~. **The previous studies reported that using seat belts reduced the**

75 **adverse maternal and fetal outcomes.**<sup>8,9,10</sup> Reports from developed<sup>8,9</sup> ~~11,12~~ and

76 developing<sup>10</sup> ~~13~~ countries have proposed the need for pregnant women to use

77 seat belts appropriately to protect themselves and their unborn babies. The

78 Japan Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology and the Japan Association of

79 Obstetricians and Gynecologists have recommended that pregnant women

80 should use seat belts, since 2008.<sup>11</sup> ~~14~~

81 In 2013, the Japan National Police Agency **Traffic Bureau** ~~Transportation~~

82 ~~Authority~~ and the Japan Automobile Federation reported that the mortality

83 rates of drivers, and front-seat and rear-seat passengers who did not use seat

84 belts were 56.2-fold, 16.6-fold, and 2.7-fold higher, respectively, than those of

85 their counterparts who used seat belts.<sup>12</sup> ~~15~~ Moreover, another previous

86 report showed that the risk of death for drivers and front-seat passengers

87 who used seat belts increased about five-fold when rear-seat passengers were  
88 unrestrained.<sup>13, 16</sup>

89 A comparative study on the enforcement and non-enforcement of laws  
90 relating to seat belt use showed that countries enforcing laws requiring  
91 rear-seat passengers to wear seat belts had a higher rate of rear seat belt use  
92 compared to countries without such laws.<sup>14,15,16, 17,18,19</sup> In Japan, fastening

93 seat belts became mandatory for rear seats by the revised Road Traffic Act in  
94 2008. ~~In Japan, laws concerning front and rear seat belt use have been~~  
95 ~~enforced since 2008.~~ Several studies have investigated rear seat belt use

96 since then;<sup>17,18, 20,21</sup> however, little is known about the characteristics of  
97 pregnant women who use seat belts as rear-seat passengers. We examined  
98 the current use of rear seat belts among pregnant women to further  
99 elucidate the factors that influence their seat belt use during pregnancy.

100

101 Methods

102 Study site

103 This quantitative study used a cross-sectional design. The study sites were

104 two obstetrics clinics and five hospitals with obstetrics facilities in Maebashi

105 City, Gunma Prefecture, Japan. Maebashi City is the capital of Gunma  
106 Prefecture and is located about 100 km northwest of Tokyo. In 2013, its  
107 population was 336,402, including 2,674 newborns. While public  
108 transportation is available, the use of private motor vehicles is much more  
109 common, as it is the main form of transportation among the residents of  
110 Maebashi City.

111

112 Ethics

113 The Epidemiologic Research Ethics Committee of Gunma University Faculty  
114 of Medicine approved this study (No. 25-36). The permission of the directors  
115 at each participating clinic and hospital was also obtained. Furthermore, we  
116 obtained approval from the Maebashi Medical Association and Gunma  
117 Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology to conduct the study.

118

119 Recruitment process

120 We recruited participants from all obstetrics clinics and hospitals in  
121 Maebashi City whose directors agreed to cooperate in the completion of this  
122 study. All pregnant women who received prenatal care from October to



123 December 2013 were invited to participate in this study. Those unable to  
124 read Japanese were excluded.

125 ~~Figure 1 shows the recruitment process.~~ The self-administered questionnaire,  
126 consisting of an explanation of the study aim, was given to pregnant women  
127 at the reception areas of the participating facilities, while they awaited their  
128 prenatal care consultations with their obstetricians. The questionnaire  
129 included a statement assuring the participants of the survey's anonymity  
130 and informing them that submission was equivalent to providing  
131 participation consent. A total of 1,546 pregnant women who visited the  
132 obstetrics clinics and hospitals for prenatal checkups received the  
133 questionnaires. Of these, 1,494 (response rate: 96.6%) agreed to participate  
134 in this study and anonymously completed the questionnaires.

135 Questionnaires with 192 incomplete missing data were excluded; therefore,  
136 the data of only 1,302 pregnant women were analyzed.

137

138 Questionnaire

139 The questionnaire items are shown in Tables 1 and 2. The questionnaire  
140 elicited information regarding characteristics such as participants' ages and

141 education levels, and gravida. Participants were also required to indicate  
142 gestational age, classified as less than 14 weeks, 14–27 weeks, and  $\geq 28$   
143 weeks.

144 The questionnaire included questions regarding seat belt use (response  
145 options: “always,” “often,” “sometimes,” and “never”) before and during  
146 pregnancy when the participants were in the driver’s seat, front passenger  
147 seat, or rear passenger seat.

148 Participants also provided information regarding the possession of a driver’s  
149 license, driving history, ownership of car driven, whether they drove a car  
150 daily, knowledge of how to wear a seat belt correctly during pregnancy,  
151 wearing a seat belt prior to starting the engine when driving before  
152 pregnancy, and whether seat belt use during pregnancy was perceived as  
153 compulsory.

154

155 Statistical analysis

156 As potential determinants of seat belt use during pregnancy, we considered  
157 age, gestational age, gravida, whether participants drove a car daily,  
158 information acquired regarding how to wear a seat belt correctly during

159 pregnancy, education level, the perception of compulsory seat belt use during  
160 pregnancy, and driving history based on previous studies.<sup>19,20,21,22,23,24</sup>  
161 <sup>22,23,24,25,26,27</sup> Moreover, we added an item about seat belt use before starting  
162 the engine when driving prior to pregnancy from the viewpoint of the  
163 influence of habitual behavior by habit.<sup>28</sup> Then, a total of nine ~~eight~~ items  
164 were analyzed as potential determinants of seat belt use during pregnancy.  
165 The variables were considered for multivariate analysis if their univariate  
166 P-value was < 0.05. We estimated the contribution of these factors to seat  
167 belt use during pregnancy and compared the seat belt use group (“always”) and the non-seat belt use group (“often” or “sometimes” or “never”) using  
168 logistic regression analysis. Furthermore, we estimated the odds ratio and  
169 95% confidence intervals for rear passenger seats. SPSS version <sup>23</sup> was used  
170 for the statistical analysis, and the significance level was  $P < 0.05$ .

172

## 173 Results

### 174 Participant characteristics

175 Participants' demographic characteristics are shown in Table 1. The mean  
176 age was 31.2 years (SD = 4.77), with a mean gestational age of 25.6 weeks

177 (SD = 8.88). Gestational age was found to be  $\geq 28$  weeks for approximately  
178 half of the participants (47.2%) at the time of the survey. Moreover, 633  
179 (48.6%) participants were primigravida, with a mean age of 30.1 years (SD =  
180 4.79). Further, 669 pregnant women (51.4%) were multigravida, and the  
181 mean age of the multigravida women was 32.1 years (SD = 4.54). In total,  
182 341 pregnant women (26.2%) had a high education level (university or  
183 graduate school).

184 Table 2 shows the pregnant women's driver characteristics. All 1302  
185 (100.0%) participants had a driver's license. The mean length of their driving  
186 history was 11.9 years (SD = 5.03). Moreover, 1151 (80.4%) participants  
187 reported daily car use, 1180 (90.6%) reported their own car use, and 562  
188 (43.2%) reported seat belt use before starting the engine when driving prior  
189 to pregnancy. A total of 456 (35.0%) participants had received information  
190 regarding seat belt use during pregnancy; 1076 (82.6%) knew that rear  
191 passenger seat belt use was compulsory during pregnancy.

192

193 Results of seat belt use

194 Table 3 shows information regarding the Kruskal-Wallis analysis of  
195 self-reported seat belt use before and during pregnancy by rear passengers  
196 according to pregnancy trimester. Fewer than 20% of the rear-seat  
197 passengers always used a seat belt before and during pregnancy for each  
198 trimester, and one-third never used seat belts before (30.0%) or during  
199 (31.7%) pregnancy. The Kruskal-Wallis test showed no significant differences  
200 in seat belt use among the pregnancy trimesters.

201 To examine this issue further, we ran a Wilcoxon signed rank test, the results  
202 of which are shown in Table 4. The Wilcoxon signed rank test revealed  
203 trimester differences in rear seat belt use before and during pregnancy;  
204 namely, pregnant women in their first trimester were more likely to use rear  
205 seat belts than when before they were pregnant.

206

207 Factors influencing seat belt use by rear-seat passengers

208 Table 5 shows the relative effects of five ~~six~~ factors influencing seat belt use  
209 based on being seated in the rear of a car during pregnancy. Univariate  
210 analyses revealed significant effects of those five factors on rear seat belt use  
211 during pregnancy, including being “30 years of age or older,” having a

212 “university-level education or higher,” “acquiring information on how to wear  
213 a seat belt correctly during pregnancy,” having “the perception that seat belt  
214 use is compulsory during pregnancy,” and “using the seat belt before starting  
215 the engine when driving prior to pregnancy” ( $P < 0.05$ ). Multivariate  
216 analyses revealed that age, information acquisition regarding seat belt use  
217 during pregnancy, seat belt use before the engine starts when driving prior to  
218 pregnancy, and the perception that seat belt use during pregnancy was  
219 compulsory significantly increased seat belt use after pregnancy.  
220 Multivariate analyses also revealed that “a high education level” was not  
221 significantly associated with increased use of a rear seat belt.

222

## 223 Discussion

224 This study demonstrated seat belt use during pregnancy in a suburban area  
225 of Japan, ~~following the enforcement of the seat belt use law stipulating that~~  
226 ~~pregnant women should wear seat belts when seated in both the front and~~  
227 ~~rear seats of a motor vehicle.~~

228 It ~~This study~~ showed low rear seat belt use during pregnancy; however, there  
229 was no significant decrease in the use of a rear seat belt during, compared to

230 before pregnancy. We did find a significant decrease in the total lack of rear  
231 seat belt usage both pre- and during pregnancy compared to a previous study  
232 conducted in 2001,<sup>21, 24</sup> before the enforcement of the rear seat belt use law,  
233 before fastening seat belts became mandatory for rear seats by the revised  
234 Road Traffic Act in 2008. The observed decrease in the lack of pregnant  
235 women's rear seat belt usage could be explained as a natural result of the  
236 enforcement of the 2008 seat belt use law, which forced rear seat passengers  
237 to also use seat belts. A previous study of non-pregnant women showed that  
238 rear seat belt use in states with laws enforcing the practice was higher  
239 compared to that in states without such laws.<sup>16,25 19,29</sup> Enforcement of seat  
240 belt use might be effective for increasing the seat belt use of both pregnant  
241 and non-pregnant women. However, to clarify the effectiveness of the law, we  
242 need further comprehensive studies comparing the situation before and after  
243 the enforcement of fastening seat belts for rear seats by the revised Road  
244 Traffic Act in 2008.

245 Multivariate analysis revealed that the factors significantly influencing rear  
246 seat belt use were "being 30 years of age or older," "having acquired  
247 information on how to wear a seat belt correctly during pregnancy,"

248 “perceiving that seat belt use is compulsory during pregnancy,” and “having  
249 engaged in seat belt use before starting an engine when driving prior to  
250 pregnancy.” Previous studies have also shown that age, information acquired  
251 concerning how to wear a seat belt correctly during pregnancy, and the  
252 perception that seat belt use is compulsory during pregnancy were  
253 significant influencing factors of seat belt use.<sup>22,26,27</sup> 25,30,31 The compatibility  
254 between our study results and those of previous studies might point to the  
255 reliability of our study findings.

256 Acquiring information regarding how to wear a seat belt correctly during  
257 pregnancy was a significant factor influencing rear seat belt use during  
258 pregnancy. Previous studies showed that pregnant women who reported  
259 having received seat belt use information specifically targeting mothers were  
260 more able to wear a seat belt correctly during pregnancy.<sup>26,27,28</sup> 30,31,32 The  
261 current study also showed that ~~an understanding of seat belt use laws~~ the  
262 ~~perception that seat belt use is compulsory during pregnancy~~ was an  
263 influential factor, as was the acquisition of information regarding how to  
264 wear a seat belt correctly. ~~This result seems to support the effectiveness of~~  
265 ~~seat belt use law enforcement.~~ One previous study suggested prenatal care



266 providers should offer beneficial educational opportunities to their clients in  
267 this regard, and that obstetric doctors and nurses should be encouraged to  
268 provide advice concerning continued seat belt use throughout the course of  
269 the pregnancy.<sup>21,29 24,33</sup> In this study, we could not show when pregnant  
270 women obtained information about seat belt use during pregnancy; future  
271 studies should examine this further.

272 Seat belt use before starting the engine when driving prior to pregnancy was  
273 a significant factor influencing rear seat belt use during pregnancy. Recently  
274 built cars have reminder systems that sound an alarm when a passenger is  
275 not wearing a seat belt after the car engine has started and is in motion. A  
276 previous study showed that these reminder systems could be effective in  
277 increasing seat belt use.<sup>30,31 34,35</sup> The development of a system that would  
278 disable a car engine from starting until after the driver and passengers have  
279 fastened their seat belts might prove useful in encouraging pregnant women  
280 to use seat belts while seated in the rear of vehicles.

281 This study had several limitations. A high response rate was received from  
282 individuals at the participating facilities. All the participants had a driver's  
283 license, which might indicate the uniqueness of the study site being a

284 Japanese suburban city. People who do not possess a driver's license might  
285 be characteristically different from the participants featured in the current  
286 study. Thus, these study results might not be fully representative of  
287 pregnant women throughout Japan.

288 Reporting bias might also have occurred because actual seat belt-use  
289 practices were not observed. Previous studies have reported that mismatch  
290 between observed and self-reported use rates is more prominent in countries  
291 with low seat belt use.<sup>32,33,34,35 36,37,38,39</sup> In this study, the mismatch between  
292 observed and self-reported use rates would have some effect because of the  
293 relatively low seat belt use rates among rear-seat passengers. Moreover, the  
294 observed rate of seat belt use among Maebashi's general population of  
295 non-pregnant women was close to our respondents' self-reported  
296 pre-pregnancy levels among rear-seat passengers ("always" and "often";  
297 49.7% vs. 37.6%, respectively).<sup>36 40</sup> Hence, this mismatch among rear-seat  
298 passengers was within the expected range.

299 In a study utilizing visual observations of actual seat belt use, it might be  
300 difficult to clearly distinguish between pregnant and non-pregnant women  
301 who use cars. It is particularly difficult to distinguish between non-pregnant

302 women and those in the early stages of pregnancy. However, a self-reported  
303 study might be a reasonable approach for evaluating pregnant women's seat  
304 belt use, despite its limitations.

305 This study examined whether women wore seat belts during pregnancy,  
306 without observing their actual seat belt-fastening practices. Although  
307 previous studies have shown that most pregnant women (i.e., 75% to 96%)  
308 wear seat belts during pregnancy, not all of them (i.e., only 47% to 76%) wear  
309 seat belts properly.<sup>29,37 33,41</sup> Since this study did not accurately portray the  
310 number of pregnant women who wear seat belts correctly while they are in  
311 the rear passenger seat, further research into this issue is necessary.

312 In conclusion, the prevalence of fastening seat belts among pregnant women  
313 in the rear seat was substantially low, and a provision of information  
314 regarding proper seat belt use and its role in protecting the fetus may  
315 increase rear seat use among pregnant women. Further studies will be  
316 needed to elucidate the effectiveness of the revised Road Traffic Act. ~~this~~  
317 ~~study investigated actual seat belt use during pregnancy in a suburban area~~  
318 ~~of Japan, following the enforcement of the seat belt use law stipulating that~~  
319 ~~pregnant women should wear seat belts while seated in the rear passenger~~

320 ~~seat, as well as the front seat. A multivariate analysis revealed that the~~  
321 ~~significant influencing factors of seat belt use while in the rear passenger~~  
322 ~~seat were being “30 years of age or older,” having “acquired information on~~  
323 ~~how to wear a seat belt correctly during pregnancy,” having “the perception~~  
324 ~~that seat belt use is compulsory during pregnancy,” and “using the seat belt~~  
325 ~~before starting the engine when driving prior to pregnancy.” This study~~  
326 ~~suggested that enforcing the seat belt use law and providing information~~  
327 ~~concerning the use of seat belts while in the rear seat of a vehicle during~~  
328 ~~pregnancy might be important for enhancing the safety of both pregnant~~  
329 ~~women and their unborn babies.~~

330

331 Acknowledgments

332 We thank all the participants and participating facilities in Maebashi City,

333 Gunma Prefecture.

334

335 Disclosure

336 The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

337

## 338 References

339 1. ~~7~~ Connolly AM, Katz VL, Bash KL, McMahon MJ, Hansen WF. Trauma  
340 and pregnancy. *Am J Perinatol* 1997; **14**: 331-336.

341

342 2. ~~1~~ Vladutiu CJ, Marshall SW, Poole C, Casteel C, Menard MK, Weiss HB.  
343 Adverse pregnancy outcomes following motor vehicle crashes. *Am J Prev*  
344 *Med* 2013; **45**: 629–636.

345

346 3. ~~2~~ Weiss HB, Songer TJ, Fabio A. Fetal deaths related to maternal injury.  
347 *JAMA* 2001; **286**: 1863-1868.

348

349 4. ~~3~~ Weiss HB. Pregnancy-associated injury hospitalizations in  
350 Pennsylvania. *Ann Emerg Med* 1999; **34**: 626-636.

351

352 5. ~~4~~ Weiss HB. Causes of traumatic death during pregnancy. *JAMA* 2001;  
353 **285**: 2854-2855.

354

355 ~~5. Murao H, Kinjou K, Uemura S, Takahashi Y, Miya T. Clinical study on 43~~  
356 ~~cases of motor vehicle injury during pregnancy (in Japanese with English~~  
357 ~~abstract). *Acta Obstet Gynaecol Jpn* 1999; **51**: 293-297.~~

358

359 6. Ikossi DG, Lazar AA, Morabito D, Fildes J, Knudson MM. Profile of  
360 mothers at risk: an analysis of injury and pregnancy loss in 1,195 trauma  
361 patients. *J Am Coll Surg* 2005; **200**: 49-56.

362

363 ~~6. Sirin H, Weiss HB, Sauber-Schatz EK, Dunning K. Seat belt use,~~  
364 ~~counseling and motor vehicle injury during pregnancy: results from a~~  
365 ~~multi-state population-based survey. *Matern Child Health J* 2007; **11**:~~  
366 ~~505-510.~~

367

368 7. Murao H, Nakamoto S, Uemura S, Takahashi Y, Hashiguchi M. Clinical  
369 Study on 80 Cases of Accidental Injury during Pregnancy (in Japanese with  
370 abstract). *Acta Obstet Gynaecol Jpn* 2000; **52**: 1635-1639.

371

372

- 373 8. Klinich KD, Flannagan CAC, Rupp JD et al. Fetal outcome in  
374 motor-vehicle crashes: Effects of crash characteristics and maternal  
375 restraint. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2008; **198**: 450.e1–450.e9.
- 376
- 377 9. Hyde LK, Cook LJ, Olson LM, Weiss HB, Dean JM. Effect of motor vehicle  
378 crashes on adverse fetal outcomes. *Obstet Gynecol* 2003; **102**: 279–286.
- 379
- 380 10. Wolf ME, Alexander BH, Rivara FP, Hickok DE, Maier RV, Starzyk PM. A  
381 retrospective cohort study of seat belt use and pregnancy outcome after a  
382 motor vehicle crash. *J Trauma* 1993; **34**: 116–119.
- 383
- 384 11. ~~8~~ Cesario SK. Seat belt use in pregnancy: history, misconceptions and  
385 the need for education. *Nurs Womens Health* 2007; **11**: 474-481.
- 386
- 387 12. ~~9~~ Pearlman MD, Phillips ME. Safety belt use during pregnancy. *Obstet*  
388 *Gynecol* 1996; **88**: 1026-1029.
- 389

390 13. ~~10.~~ MIROS. Review on safety of pregnant occupant travelling with or  
391 without restraint in a passenger vehicle. [Cited 12 February 2017.] Available  
392 from URL:

393 [https://www.miros.gov.my/1/dl.php?filename=MRev%2002\\_2009%20-%20Pregnant%20women.pdf](https://www.miros.gov.my/1/dl.php?filename=MRev%2002_2009%20-%20Pregnant%20women.pdf)

395

396 14. ~~11.~~ Japan Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Japan Association of  
397 Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Guideline for obstetrical practice in Japan  
398 2008. [Cited 12 February 2017] (in Japanese). Available from URL:

399 [http://www.jaog.or.jp/all/document/guide\\_2008.pdf](http://www.jaog.or.jp/all/document/guide_2008.pdf)

400

401 15. ~~12.~~ National Police Agency, Japan Automobile Federation. The status  
402 about use of the seat belt. [Cited 12 February 2017] (in Japanese). Available  
403 from URL:

404 [http://www.pref.ibaraki.jp/seikatsukankyo/seibun/anzen/kotsu/06email/back](http://www.pref.ibaraki.jp/seikatsukankyo/seibun/anzen/kotsu/06email/backnumber/documents/83-1.pdf)  
405 [number/documents/83-1.pdf](http://www.pref.ibaraki.jp/seikatsukankyo/seibun/anzen/kotsu/06email/backnumber/documents/83-1.pdf)

406



- 407 16. ~~13~~ Ichikawa M, Nakahara S, Wakai S, 2002. Mortality of front-seat  
408 occupants attributable to unbelted rear-seat passengers in car crashes.  
409 *Lancet* 2002; **359**: 43-44.
- 410
- 411 17. ~~14~~ Kuo CY, Chiou HY, Lin JW et al. Seatbelt use and traumatic brain  
412 injury in Taiwan: a 16-year study. *Iran J Public Health* 2015; **44**: 470-478.
- 413
- 414 18. ~~15~~ Nakahara S, Ichikawa M, Wakai S. Seatbelt legislation in Japan:  
415 high risk driver mortality and seatbelt use. *Inj Prev* 2003; **9**: 29-32.
- 416
- 417 19. ~~16~~ National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA). Occupant  
418 restraint use in 2009 - Results from the National Occupant Protection Use  
419 Survey Controlled Intersection Study (Research Note: DOT HS 811 414).  
420 [Cited 12 February 2017.] Available from URL:  
421 <http://www-nrd.nhtsa.dot.gov/Pubs/811414.pdf>
- 422

423 20. ~~17~~ Morikawa, M, Yamada T, Kato-Hirayama E et al. Seatbelt use and  
424 seat preference among pregnant women in Sapporo, Japan, in 2013. *J Obstet*  
425 *Gynaecol Res* 2016; **42**: 810-815.

426

427 21. ~~18~~ Nakajima Y, Yamada K, Tsubaki C, Machiura M. Pregnant women's  
428 evaluation of the web audiovisual material to improve the awareness about  
429 wearing seat belts in car seats during pregnancy (in Japanese). *Journal Sch*  
430 *of Nurs Osaka Pref Univ* 2013; **19**: 93-101.

431

432 22. ~~19~~ Beck LF, Gilbert BC, Shults RA. Prevalence of seat belt use among  
433 reproductive-aged women and prenatal counseling to wear seat belts. *Am J*  
434 *Obstet Gynecol* 2005; **192**: 580-585.

435

436 23. ~~20~~ Beck LF, Shults RA, Mack KA, Ryan GW. Associations between  
437 sociodemographics and safety belt use in states with and without primary  
438 enforcement laws. *Am J Public Health* 2007; **97**: 1619-1624.

439

440 24. ~~21~~ Ichikawa M, Nakahara S, Okubo T, Wakai S. Car seatbelt use during  
441 pregnancy in Japan: determinants and policy implications. *Inj Prev* 2003; **9**:  
442 169-172.

443

444 25. ~~22~~ Ng CP, Law TH, Wong SV, Kulanthayan S. Factors related to  
445 seatbelt-wearing among rear-seat passengers in Malaysia. *Accid Anal Prev*  
446 2013; **50**: 351-360.

447

448 26. ~~23~~ Schlundt DG, Briggs NC, Miller ST, Arthur CM, Goldzweig IA. BMI  
449 and seatbelt use. *Obesity* 2007; **15**: 2541-2545.

450

451 27. ~~24~~ Werawatakul Y, Chaivoramukkul C. Maternal knowledge, attitude  
452 and practice of safety belt use during pregnancy at Srinagarind Hospital. *J*  
453 *Med Assoc Thai* 2004; **87**: 59-65.

454

455 28. Triandis HC (ed) *Interpersonal behavior*. Moterey: Brooks/Cole

456 Publishing Company, 1977.

457

458 ~~29. 25~~ Shults RA, Elder RE, Sleet DA, Thompson RS, Nichols JL. Primary  
459 enforcement seat belt laws are effective even in the face of rising belt use  
460 rates. *Accid Anal Prev* 2004; **36**: 491-493.

461

462 ~~30. 26~~ Chang A, Magwene K, Frand E. Increased safety belt use following  
463 education in childbirth classes. *Birth* 1987; **14**: 148-152.

464

465 ~~31. 27~~ McGwin G, Willey P, Ware A, Kohler C, Kirby Y, Rue LW. A focused  
466 educational intervention can promote the proper application of seat belts  
467 during pregnancy. *J Trauma* 2004; **56**: 1016-1021.

468

469 ~~32. 28~~ Tyroch A, Kaups KL, Rohan J, Song S, Beingesser K. Pregnant  
470 women and care restraints: beliefs and practices. *J Trauma* 1999; **46**:  
471 241-245.

472

473 ~~33. 29~~ McGwin G, Russell SR, Rux RL, Leath CA, Valent F, Rue LW.  
474 Knowledge, beliefs, and practices concerning seat belt use during pregnancy.  
475 *J Trauma* 2004; **56**: 670-675.

476

477 ~~34. 30~~ National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA). Federal

478 Motor Vehicle Safety Standards; Occupant Crash Protection (Federal

479 Register Vol. 75, No. 124/Proposed Rules). [Cited 12 February 2017.]

480 Available from URL:

481 <http://www.inmetro.gov.br/barreirastecnicas/pontofocal/textos/regulamentos/>

482 USA\_559.pdf

483

484 ~~35. 31~~ Williams AF, Wells JK, Farmer CM. Effectiveness of Ford's belt

485 reminder system in increasing seat belt use. *Inj Prev* 2002; **8**: 293-296

486

487 ~~36. 32~~ Streff FM, Wagenaar AC. Are there really shortcuts? Estimating seat

488 belt use with self-report measure. *Accid Anal Prev* 1989; **21**: 509-516.

489

490 ~~37. 33~~ Özkan T, Puvanachandra P, Lajunen T, Hoe C, Hyder A. The validity

491 of self-reported seatbelt use in a country where levels of use are low. *Accid*

492 *Anal Prev* 2012; **47**: 75-77.

493

494 38. ~~34~~ Parada MA, Cohn LD, Gonzalez E, Byrd T, Cortes M. The validity of  
495 self-reported seatbelt use: Hispanic and non-Hispanic drivers in El Paso.  
496 *Accid Anal Prev* 2001; **33**: 139-143.

497

498 39. ~~35~~ Robertson LS. The validity of self-reported behavioral risk factors:  
499 seatbelt and alcohol use. *J Trauma* 1992; **32**: 58-59.

500

501 40. ~~36~~ Japan Automobile Federation. Seat belt use data by prefectures.

502 [Cited 12 February 2017] (in Japanese). Available from URL:

503 <http://www.jaf.or.jp/eo-safety/safety/data/pdf/sb2013.pdf>

504

505 41. ~~37~~ Jamjute P, Eedarapalli P, Jain S. Awareness of correct use of a  
506 seatbelt among pregnant women and health professionals: a multicentric  
507 survey. *J Obstet Gynaecol* 2005; **25**: 550-553.

508

509 ~~Figure legend~~

510 ~~Figure 1 Recruitment process: 1546 pregnant women were invited to this~~

511 ~~study, 1494 pregnant women (96.6%) agreed to participate; the final sample~~

512 ~~consisted of 1302 pregnant women (84.2%).~~

513

514

For Peer Review

515 Table 1. Pregnant women's demographic characteristics (N = 1302)

| Variable        |                    |                 |         |
|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Age             | Mean               | 31.2±4.77 years |         |
| Gravida         | Primigravida       | 633             | (48.6%) |
|                 | Mean age           | 30.1±4.79 years |         |
|                 | Multigravida       | 669             | (51.4%) |
|                 | Mean age           | 32.1±4.54 years |         |
| Gestational age | Average            | 25.6±8.88 weeks |         |
|                 | <14 weeks          | 167             | (12.8%) |
|                 | 14–27 weeks        | 520             | (39.9%) |
|                 | ≥28 weeks          | 615             | (47.2%) |
| Education       | Junior high school | 45              | (3.5%)  |
|                 | High school        | 340             | (26.4%) |
|                 | Junior college     | 231             | (17.7%) |
|                 | Technical college  | 344             | (26.4%) |
|                 | University         | 316             | (24.3%) |
|                 | Graduate school    | 25              | (1.9%)  |



516 Table 2. Pregnant women's characteristics as drivers (N = 1302)

| Variable   |        |                 |          |
|--|--------|-----------------|----------|
| Possession of a driver's license   |        | 1302            | (100.0%) |
| Driving history  | Mean   | 11.9±5.03 years |          |
| Car being used   | My car | 1180            | (90.6%)  |
| Participants who drive a car daily (Yes)   |        | 640             | (49.2%)  |
| Acquired information on how to wear a seat belt correctly during pregnancy (Yes) |        | 456             | (35.0%)  |
| Seat belt use before switching on engine (as a driver, before pregnancy) (Yes)   |        | 562             | (43.2%)  |
| Perception that rear seat belt use is compulsory during pregnancy (Yes)          |        | 1076            | (82.6%)  |

517

518 Table 3. Self-reported seat belt use before and during pregnancy in rear seat position (1302 rear passengers), according  
 519 to pregnancy trimester; n (%)

| Seat belt use       | <14 weeks  |            | 14–27 weeks |            | ≥28 weeks  |            | Total      |            |
|---------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
|                     | Before (%) | During (%) | Before (%)  | During (%) | Before (%) | During (%) | Before (%) | During (%) |
| Rear passenger seat |            |            |             |            |            |            |            |            |
| Always              | 23 (13.8)  | 28 (16.8)  | 90 (17.3)   | 98 (18.8)  | 116 (18.1) | 123 (20.0) | 229 (17.6) | 249 (19.1) |
| Often               | 37 (22.2)  | 42 (25.1)  | 101 (19.4)  | 97 (18.7)  | 122 (19.6) | 124 (20.2) | 260 (20.0) | 263 (20.2) |
| Sometimes           | 63 (38.5)  | 51 (30.5)  | 166 (31.9)  | 166 (30.8) | 193 (31.1) | 166 (27.0) | 422 (32.4) | 377 (29.0) |
| Never               | 44 (25.7)  | 46 (27.5)  | 163 (31.3)  | 165 (31.7) | 184 (31.1) | 202 (32.8) | 391 (30.0) | 413 (31.7) |
| Total               | 167        | 167        | 520         | 520        | 615        | 615        | 1302       | 1302       |

520 The Kruskal-Wallis test revealed no significant trimester differences in seat belt use among pregnancy trimesters.

521 Table 4. Differences in rear seat belt use before and during pregnancy for each trimester

|             | n    | Rear seat belt use (During pregnancy – Before pregnancy) |              |             | Z     | P      |
|-------------|------|--|--------------|-------------|-------|--------|
|             |      | Improved (%)   | Worsened (%) | Same (%)    |       |        |
| <14 weeks   | 167  | 19 (11.4)  | 9 (5.4)      | 139 (83.2)  | 2.148 | 0.032* |
| 14-27 weeks | 520  | 47 (9.0)   | 38 (7.3)     | 435 (83.7)  | 0.907 | 0.364  |
| ≥28 weeks   | 615  | 55 (8.9)   | 57 (9.3)     | 503 (81.8)  | 0.157 | 0.875  |
| Total       | 1302 | 121 (9.3)  | 104 (8.0)    | 1077 (82.7) | 1.193 | 0.233  |

522 Note: Reported on a 4-point Likert scale (1 = Always, 2 = Often, 3 = Sometimes, 4 = Never), the median IQRs for each  
523 trimester were 3.0, 2.0, and 4.0, respectively. The Wilcoxon signed rank test revealed that pregnant women in their first  
524 trimester were more likely to use rear seat belts than they were before their pregnancies.

525 \* < 0.05

526

527 Table 5. Effects of factors influencing rear seat belt use during pregnancy,  
 528 according to a multiple logistic regression analysis (“Always” vs. “Often” +  
 529 “Sometimes” + “Never”)  
 530

| Factor  | Odds ratio | 95% Confidence Interval |   |        |
|---|------------|-------------------------|---|--------|
| Age ( $\geq 30$ years) (Yes/No)   | 1.045      | 1.013                   | ~ | 1.078  |
| Education level (University+) (Yes/No)  | 1.025      | 0.993                   | ~ | 1.059  |
| Acquired information on how to wear a seat belt correctly during pregnancy (Yes/No) | 1.747      | 1.307                   | ~ | 2.335  |
| The perception that seat belt use is compulsory during pregnancy (Yes/No)           | 5.960      | 3.089                   | ~ | 11.498 |
| Seat belt use (before/after) starting engine when driving before pregnancy          | 1.075      | 1.044                   | ~ | 1.107  |

531